UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA ORANGEBURG DIVISION

Hubert Lee Washington, Jr.,)	Civil Action No.: 5:19-cv-03224-RBH
Petitioner,)	
v.)	ORDER
Bryan Dobbs,)	
Respondent.)	
)	

This matter is before the Court for review of the Report and Recommendation ("R & R") of United States Magistrate Judge Kaymani D. West, who recommends granting Respondent's Motion for Summary Judgment [ECF No. 46] and dismissing Petitioner's § 28 U.S.C. 2241 petition [ECF No. 1]. *See* ECF No. 56.

The Magistrate Judge makes only a recommendation to the Court. The recommendation has no presumptive weight, and the responsibility to make a final determination remains with the Court. *Mathews v. Weber*, 423 U.S. 261, 270–71 (1976). The Court must conduct a de novo review of those portions of the R & R to which specific objections are made, and it may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendation of the Magistrate Judge or recommit the matter with instructions. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b).

Neither party has filed objections to the R&R, and the time for doing so has expired.² In the absence of objections to the R&R, the Court is not required to give any explanation for adopting the Magistrate Judge's recommendations. *See Camby v. Davis*, 718 F.2d 198, 199 (4th Cir. 1983). The

The Magistrate Judge issued the R & R in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(b) and Local Civil Rule 73.02(B)(2) (D.S.C.).

Objections were due by August 18, 2022. See ECF Nos. 56 & 57.

5:19-cv-03224-RBH Date Filed 09/06/22 Entry Number 59 Page 2 of 2

Court reviews only for clear error in the absence of an objection. *See Diamond v. Colonial Life & Acc. Ins. Co.*, 416 F.3d 310, 315 (4th Cir. 2005) (stating that "in the absence of a timely filed objection, a

district court need not conduct de novo review, but instead must 'only satisfy itself that there is no clear

error on the face of the record in order to accept the recommendation" (quoting Fed. R. Civ. P. 72

advisory committee's note)).

Having found no clear error, the Court **ADOPTS** the Magistrate Judge's R & R [ECF No. 56],

GRANTS Respondent's Motion for Summary Judgment [ECF No. 46], and **DISMISSES** the Petition

[ECF No. 1] without prejudice.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Florence, South Carolina September 6, 2022 s/ R. Bryan HarwellR. Bryan HarwellChief United States District Judge